Case4:11-cv-01398-CW Document76 Filed10/11/11 Page1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ROBERT D. BECKER (Bar No. CA 160648 E-mail: rbecker@manatt.com RONALD S. KATZ (Bar No. CA 085713) E-mail: rkatz@manatt.com SHAWN G. HANSEN (Bar No. CA 197033) E-mail: shansen@manatt.com 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006 Telephone: (650) 812-1300 Facsimile: (650) 213-0260 Attorneys for KELORA SYSTEMS, LLC		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
11			
12			
13			
14	eBay Inc. and Microsoft Corporation,	No. 4:10-cv-4947-CW (filed Nov. 2, 2010)	
15	Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-) Defendants,)	DECLARATION OF SHERIF DANISH IN	
16	V.)	SUPPORT OF KELORA'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' CLAIM	
17	Kelora Systems, LLC,	CONSTRUCTION BRIEF AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF	
18	Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff.)	INVALIDITY AND	
19	Defendani ana Counterciani-i ianiiff.)	NOMINE REPORTED TO	
20	Cabela's Inc.,	No. 4:11-cv-1398-CW (filed Mar. 23, 2011)	
21	Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,)	(related case)	
22	V. Training and Counterclaim-Defendant,)		
23	Kelora Systems, LLC,		
24	Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff.)		
25	Defendant und Counterclaim-1 tainity).)		
26			
27			
28			
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW		DECL. OF SHERIF DANISH ISO KELORA'S MSJ OPPOSITION	

Palo Alto

]-	Kelora Systems, LLC,	No. 4:11-cv-1548-CW (filed Nov. 8, 2010) (related case)
	Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,)	
	v.)	
,	Target Corporation; OfficeMax	
1	Incorporated; Rockler Companies, Inc.; 1- 800-Flowers.com, Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Poll Inc.; Office Penet Inc.; Navaga Inc.;	
- (Dell, Inc.; Office Depot, Inc.; Newegg Inc.;) Costco Wholesale Corporation; Hewlett- Deployed Corporation (City and Local Corporation)	
- 11 -	Packard Company; CircuitCity.com Inc.;) Audible, Inc.; and Zappos.com, Inc.,	
	Defendants and Counterclaim- Plaintiffs.	
-	OfficeMax Incorporated,	
	Third-Party Plaintiff,	
	v.)	
ı	Adobe Systems Incorporated,	
	Third-Party Defendant.	
-	Nebraska Furniture Mart, Inc.,	No. 4:11-cv-2284-CW (filed Feb. 3, 2011) (related case)
	Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,)	
	V. Defendant,)	
1	Kelora Systems, LLC,	
	Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff.)	
-		

MANATT, PHELPS &
PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

6

11

9

12 13

15

16

14

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

I, Sherif Danish, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Managing Member of Kelora Systems, LLC ("Kelora"). I am also the firstnamed inventor on the patent at issue in this case, U.S. Patent No. 6,275,821 ("the '821 patent"). I have personal knowledge of the following facts. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts.
- 2. The '821 patent, entitled "Method and System for Executing a Guided Parametric Search," describes systems and methods for performing guided parametric search and includes claims directed to methods for performing guided parametric search. Kelora practices the invention disclosed in the '821 patent through its award-winning Step Search® technology. Step Search® is implemented in Kelora's Commerce Suite software products, which have been licensed by numerous Fortune 500 companies, including 3M, Hubbell, and Lincoln Electric.
- 3. Kelora's Commerce Suite software products and the similar products of its predecessors in interest allow licensees of those products to perform all elements of reexamined claims 1 and 9 and dependent claims 2, 3, and 4 of the '821 Patent. Licensees were encouraged to use the products in this way and I have first hand knowledge that they did so. Kelora itself performs one or more of these methods during development, testing, and support of the Commerce Suite software products and I have firsthand knowledge that current and past licensees would also routinely perform all the elements of one or more of these claims during their own deployment, testing, and support processes.
- 4. Kelora's Commerce Suite software was originally developed and marketed by a company which I co-founded and at which I formerly worked called Saggara Systems, Inc. Saqqara was an assignee of the '821 Patent, and its Commerce Suite software products were its primary assets.
- 5. In or around 1996, a major enterprise software company, Verity, Inc., offered to purchase Saqqara in order to obtain the Step Search® technology. At the time, Verity was a provider of software products, including text search and related software products. Verity made an unsolicited offer to purchase Saggara for \$10 million, even though Saggara had only a limited

11

13

15

17

18

21

26

27

28

Saggara/Verity transaction was never consummated. 6. In and around 1997, Saggara and Microsoft, Inc. commenced business and technical discussions. In or around July of 1998, Microsoft and Saggara agreed that Microsoft would obtain Saggara's Step Search® product (as it was then called) and install it in anticipation

of integrating it with Microsoft's Site Server product for demonstration purposes at a Microsoft

number of customers. Negotiations ultimately broke down over the purchase price and the

show in September 1998. Saggara's technology was demonstrated at the show.

- 7. Thereafter, in or around 2000, Microsoft launched its own competing product, Commerce Server, that featured parametric search technology under a function called "Stepwise Search." Microsoft's Commerce Server products currently feature the parametric search technology utilized in Kelora's Step Search® technology and disclosed and claimed in the '821 Patent. Saggara had provided Microsoft with access to compiled libraries and human readable ASP pages comprising an implementation of the claimed methods.
- 8. The parametric search technology disclosed in the '821 Patent has widespread adoption. I have personally viewed and evaluated hundreds of websites that make use of the methods set forth in the reexamined claims of the '821 Patent. Based on the latest results of an ongoing investigation I am managing, I understand that there are thousands of sites that make use of the methods set forth in the reexamined claims of the '821 Patent.
- 9. The commercial success of the methods of the '821 Patent is evidenced by their widespread use. Each Defendant performs the methods, and certain Defendants provide the functionality to others. While there are a number of non-infringing alternatives to searching an online catalog of products, thousands of retailers perform the claimed method and few eschew it in favor of the non-infringing alternatives.
- Guided parametric search as claimed in the '821 Patent was not offered by anyone 10. other than assignees and licensees of the '821 Patent at the time the patent application was published. Mr. Arnett has testified that pioneers of the world wide web subscribed to the listserve to which he and Mr. Rhine posted. "[S]o this was the community that was defining the Worldwide Web standards in these days, and Tim [Berners-Lee] was our leader." Arnett

PALO ALTO

1	Deposition, 68:20-22 My own review of the archives confirms that luminaries such as Tim		
2	Berners-Lee, Dave Ragget, and Marc Andresson, all subscribed to this listserve. Nonetheless, it		
3	was not until after the October 14, 1994, priority date of the '821 patent that any third party		
4	introduced functionality akin to that claimed by the '821 patent.		
5	11. Products by competitors to Saqqara and Kelora, such as Endeca, Fast Search and		
6	Transfer (FAST), GSI Commerce (now owned by eBay), and Magento, were not available until		
7	long after the first priority date of the '821 patent of October 14, 1994. Endeca was founded in		
8	1999. FAST (acquired by Microsoft in 2008) was founded in 1997. Magento was founded in		
9	2001.		
10	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the		
11	foregoing is true and correct.		
12	Executed this 10th day of October 2011, at Cupertino, California.		
13			
14	Pur S		
15	By: Sherif Danish		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	300786350.3		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			